

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/474,138	1	12/29/1999	ERIK L. SMITH	2685/5393	2685/5393 1912	
23838	7590	03/10/2003				
KENYON			EXAMINER			
1500 K STF WASHING	,	7., SUITE 700 20005		NGUYEN, O	QUYNH H	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2642	12	
				DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/474,138	SMITH, ERIK L.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed February 4, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Aplicant argues that Harlow discloses receiving a call at a single number. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Harlow teaches (Col. 8, lines 28-45) that after the called number of an elderly person who is living alone is ringing for a period of time, for example, five rings, then place a call to a relative or neighbor monitors a second telephone number. Both directory number obviously may belong to the same person, for example, either the elderly person, the relative, or the neighbor.

Examiner: Quynh H. Nguyen

Tel: (703)-305-5451

AHMAD F. MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700